

# BIG DATA AND PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS

The future of solar O&M, p.17

## MARKET WATCH

The twists and turns of China's solar policy, p.41



## DESIGN AND BUILD

Hurricane-resilient PV systems, p.74

## PLANT PERFORMANCE

Boosting power through retrofit coatings, p.88



## STORAGE & SMART POWER

Why battery performance testing is crucial, p.96

**Published by**

**Solar Media Ltd.**  
123 Buckingham Palace Road  
London, SW1W 9SH, UK  
Tel: +44 (0) 207 871 0122  
www.pv-tech.org

**Publisher**

David Owen

**Editorial****Head of content:**

John Parnell

**Managing editor:**

Ben Willis

**Senior news editor:**

Mark Osborne

**Reporters:**

Andy Colthorpe, Tom Kenning, Liam Stoker,  
José Rojo Martin, Carrie Xiao

**Design & production****Design and production manager:**

Sarah-Jane Lee

**Production:**

Daniel Brown

**Advertising****Sales director:**

David Evans

**Account managers:**

Graham Davie, Lili Zhu,  
Will Swan

**Printed by**

**Buxton Press Ltd.**, Derbyshire

PV Tech Power Volume 19, 2019  
ISSN: 2057-438X

While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the contents of this supplement, the publisher will accept no responsibility for any errors, or opinion expressed, or omissions, or for any loss or damage, consequential or otherwise, suffered as a result of any material here published.

The entire contents of this publication are protected by copyright, full details of which are available from the publisher. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

**Brands of Solar Media:**

Photovoltaics

SOLAR  
POWER  
PORTAL

PVTECH

SOLAR  
ENERGY  
EVENTS

PVTECH PRO

MANUFACTURING  
THE SOLAR FUTURE

Energy  
Storage

# Introduction



Welcome to *PV Tech Power 19*. Intersolar Europe returns after a notably buoyant edition last year. Since then the continent has seen solar winning out in German tenders, France continue its own deployment schedule and both Spain and Portugal building up an impressive pipeline.

A lot of this optimism is built on a subsidy-free foundation. Solar is successful in these conditions for a number of reasons with global module pricing and demand for power purchase agreements (PPAs) getting a lot of the attention. It would be remiss to let these macro issues detract from the gains being made in system design and operation. Our cover story takes a deep dive into the ways buzzwords like big data, artificial intelligence and augmented reality can provide practical benefits to the industry. Engineers and data scientists from i-EM explain how predictive analytics and a 'democratic' future for big data access and analytics can bring big benefits (p.17) – not in the misty, distant future but right now.

Following up on that theme, we have some real world case studies as Enel Green Power, Aquila Capital and Pöyry discuss the impact of their own digitalisation efforts so far (p.32).

While rainy days are obviously no friend to the solar industry, poor site drainage and planning can create major problems. A paper from the construction and infrastructure firm HDR explores how erosion can destroy solar sites, and reputations (p. 70).

Sticking with the weather, hurricanes

have the potential to level solar installations that fail to consider the impact of extreme wind speeds. The Rocky Mountain Institute and the engineering consultancy FCX Solar offer some first-hand insight on the special considerations required for many island states (p.74).

Our Storage & Smart Power section, produced with our colleagues from *Energy-Storage.News*, includes a superb read on the impact of a recent spate of M&A activity. Andy Colthorpe speaks to Sonnen, Younicos and Greensmith about life under the ownership of Shell, Aggreko and Wartsilla respectively (p.100).

Testing and certification has received a lot of attention in the solar sector but investors must be as rigorous when investing in energy storage. DNV GL provides some pointers on the criteria that should be assessed before choosing a partner (p.96).

Our correspondent in Shanghai, Carrie Xiao, provides an update on China's latest policy reorganisation (p.41). José Rojo assesses all the regulatory risks for solar projects in Europe that remain even after subsidies have become a non-issue (p.37).

There is of course too much to mention in this short introduction and we hope our assembled team of industry experts can help you make the most of solar's current buoyancy.

**John Parnell**

Head of content



# Contents

37



## 10-13 NEWS

Round-up of the biggest stories in PV from around the world.

## 17-36 COVER STORY

- 17-29 **Big data and predictive maintenance in PV – the state of the art**  
By Alessandro Betti, Fabrizio Ruffini, Lorenzo Gioni and Antonio Piazzì, i-EM
- 32-36 **Embracing the future**  
Industry perspectives on how big data and predictive analytics are changing the face of solar O&M

## 37-49 MARKET WATCH

- 37-40 **Regulatory roadblocks ahead for EU subsidy-free solar**  
Navigating the policy pitfalls of post-subsidy solar
- 41-42 **China's bid to fill policy vacuum**  
The twists and turns of Chinese solar policy
- 44-45 **FiT for purpose?**  
The search is on for a replacement to the UK's feed-in tariff
- 48-49 **Colombia at the crossroads**  
Can Colombia's fledgling solar industry get off the ground?

66



41



## 50-69 FINANCIAL, LEGAL, PROFESSIONAL

- 50-53 **Financing emerging solar: A-listers speak up**  
The big sources of capital breathing life into new PV markets
- 56-61 **Technical Briefing** Achieving 100% renewables: supply-shaping through curtailment  
By Karl A. Rábago, Pace Energy and Climate Center; Marc Perez, Clean Power Research; Morgan Putnam, RESurety; Richard Perez, Atmospheric Sciences Research Center
- 62-64 **Interview** The changing face of European solar  
Norton Rose Fulbright on the trends shaping continental PV
- 66-69 **Technical Briefing** Codes, standards and certification for safety and performance of distributed energy resources and systems  
By Scott Picco, Laurie Florence and Tim Zgonena, UL

# Achieving 100% renewables: supply-shaping through curtailment

**Economics** | How best to bridge the gap between the production of renewables such as solar and demand is the subject of much debate. Marc Perez, Richard Perez, Karl R. Rabago and Morgan Putnam argue the case for curtailment combined with storage as a cost-effective solution



Credit: BHE Renewables

Storage has long been considered the critical component to achieving high degrees of renewable penetration. This makes intuitive sense because it is readily apparent the sun doesn't always shine when energy is being used. Storage is indeed an increasingly important tool to bridge the "firm spread"—the gap between renewable production and customer demand.

Recent work has shown that we don't need as much storage as we might think to achieve high degrees of renewable energy penetration. This article demonstrates how renewable energy curtailment combined with storage enables the achievement of very high renewables penetration at much lower cost than with storage alone.

## Background

A rapid decline in turnkey capital costs for solar PV systems has led it to be the fastest growing and often least-cost electricity generation resource. So-called "grid parity" of solar has already been achieved in many locations, with reports of PV PPA (Power Purchase Agreement) bids below US\$0.02/kWh [2][4][19]. Contract energy prices at that level are lower than energy prices for nearly any conventional generation (nuclear, natural gas, coal, etc.).

Despite having achieved grid parity for energy prices, we must remember that not all kilowatt hours are created equal. A unit of energy supplied to the grid from a solar generator is not equivalent to a unit of energy supplied by a conventional generator. This is because renewable production

## A higher penetration of solar and wind power at a lower cost is the holy grail of the future energy system

is inherently variable and non-dispatchable—its production relies on the weather. Solar generation cannot therefore operate according to the preferences of the grid manager. By contrast, a conventional generator such as a combined-cycle natural gas plant is fully dispatchable. Its capacity is available whenever the plant operator decides. Energy price parity is not the same as operational equivalence.

A threshold question for high-penetration solar generation is how to "firm-up" intermittent renewables and transform them into resources that can meet load at any time. Many "firming" solutions to bridge the gap between supply and demand are under discussion. Among these solutions, Internet of Things ("IoT") load control, micro-grids, smart grids,



load-shaping tariffs, and storage take prominence [1]. This article explains a counterintuitive and elegantly simple strategy that greatly reduces the need for these solutions solely to firm solar.

**Energy storage: an incomplete solution**


Transforming variable renewables effectively into firm generators is a necessary prerequisite to achieving their very high penetration on the grid. The issue with solar is that there exist gaps in supply when the sun isn't shining yet demand still exists. These supply/demand imbalances are present on multiple timescales. They stretch from intra-hour (passing clouds), to intra-day (rising and setting of the sun), to inter-day (weather fronts), to seasonal (rotation of the earth around the sun and axial tilt). In energy market terms, these imbalances give rise to a "firm spread". The firm spread is the differential between the cost of resources that are effectively always available to meet demand and those that are not.

Electrochemical battery storage is often cited as the solution that will transform solar into a dispatchable resource. The price of storage to firm any individual unit of solar capacity has therefore been cited as a metric to quantify the value of firm spread. Electricity storage will be a critical component to overcoming solar variability, but the combined effects of storage and solar costs will dictate that it is not the only one.

Longer-timescale solar supply gaps (in the order of weeks or seasons) require an expensive amount of storage to overcome. This is true even when assuming future electrochemical storage costs below US\$100/MWh [10]. The cost is high because the amount of energy that must be stored to overcome the seasonal supply gap is large. In fact, it is more than one hundred times larger than the battery size needed to account for the shift from day to night; or to mitigate so-called duck curves. Using only battery storage as a solution to solar variability would push system costs far above grid parity.

**Supply shaping through oversizing and curtailment**

The least-cost pathway for effectively transforming variable PV into firm generation lies in a counterintuitive strategy: oversizing of solar generation assets and dynamically curtailing the output. Such a strategy reduces storage require-



**Figure 1. The levelised cost of energy (LCOE) to generate firm renewable electricity for the Minnesota power grid as a function of the fraction of oversized/curtailed renewable energy using utility-scale future (2050) PV, wind and storage cost assumptions**

ments by at least a factor of ten relative to the storage needed if it was the only solution. The effectiveness of this strategy is demonstrated in the recently-released *Minnesota Solar Pathways* study and a corresponding publication in *Solar Energy Journal* [8][12].


A strategy of oversizing and curtailment works because:

- Oversizing drastically diminishes the supply gaps stemming from resource variability at longer timescales;
- The cost of storage saved in so doing is much higher than the cost of oversizing renewables.

Figure 1 demonstrates the trade-off between oversizing of renewable generation and storage. Though the figure demonstrates optimisation using future costs, this tradeoff is applicable even at today's prices.

The solid black line represents the LCOE to meet electrical demand 24/365 with an optimised blend of wind and PV, storage and 5% natural gas. This LCOE is the sum of the unconstrained RE+gas LCOE (orange line) and of the battery LCOE necessary to fill all intermittency gaps (blue line). The semi-transparent gray line represents the current [conventional]

**Figure 2. Hourly storage state-of-charge to meet MN utility load in the absence of oversizing (left plot) and with optimal oversizing + curtailment (right plot)**



electricity production costs in the state.

At zero curtailment (i.e., no RE oversizing) the orange line is well below the grey line; i.e., it is apparently below grid parity. However, the storage requirements to transform unconstrained renewable energy into a firm 24/365 resource (black line) are overwhelmingly expensive. When optimally curtailing about one third of the production (i.e., oversizing RE by 50%), true grid parity is achieved.

Another way to visualise how this oversizing and curtailment strategy works is to look at the state of charge of the storage assets across a typical year. Figure 2 displays two subplots, each showing the storage state-of-charge dispatched to meet hourly utility load in Minnesota.

The plot on the left shows what this storage state of charge looks like without curtailment if 100% of a typical utility load is served by PV generation. Under such a scenario, storage must be sized to mitigate the seasonal supply-demand imbalance by soaking up excess production in the summer months and discharging all winter. The plot on the right shows what this storage state of charge looks like with cost-optimal oversizing and curtailment.


Storage size is decreased by a factor of 10 between the two scenarios pictured in Figure 2. This reduction exists because seasonal imbalance is eliminated through oversizing. By oversizing, PV produces more in the winter, mitigating the need for storage to do the same. Storage only needs to be sized to fill a roughly week-long supply gap as a result.

**Complementary integration strategies**


In addition to overbuilding and curtailment, other complementary strategies deliver cost reduction opportunities. These strategies include:

- Increased geographic diversity of renewable plant siting. Greater geographic diversity decreases aggregate variability [5][7][11][16].
- Synergistic blending of PV with wind where these resources are anticorrelated. See Figure 3 [1][3][8][14].
- A small degree of dispatchable conventional generation, such as gas generation operated infrequently [8][12][14][15].
- Demand-side management, including energy efficiency, demand response and dynamic load control [1][8].

It is useful to look at the magnitude of



**Figure 3. Solar versus wind resource across the State of Minnesota at the monthly interval in 2016. Pictured is both a 30-day moving average and locally weighted trendline for each resource. Strongly visible is the seasonal anti-correlation between the wind and solar resources across the state. Wind resource peaks in December and April (coming to a minimum in August) while the solar resource experiences its maximum and minimum at the solstices**



**Hours of storage capacity required to surmount supply/demand imbalances at various timescales along with optimised solutions that address each of these imbalances**

the supply/demand imbalances remedied by each of these solutions. Each solution is best suited to address variability on a timescale commensurate with its operational characteristics.

In Figure 4, the column bars represent the quantity of storage needed

to overcome imbalances at various timescales, without relying on any other solution. The colored boxes near the top highlight the timescales at which a number of complementary firming solutions operate. Not surprisingly, different resources offer differing performance

attributes that should be selectively applied in pursuit of an optimal solution—the right tool for the job.

Energy curtailment addresses inter-annual or seasonal imbalances. Dispatchable supplemental generation addresses weekly/monthly imbalances. And both storage and load shifting address timescales smaller than a single day. This is not to say that energy curtailment can't address variability at shorter timescales or that energy storage can't address variability at longer timescales. Figure 4 attempts to show the timescales which each of these solutions are best-suited to address given their costs and operational characteristics.

### Least-cost 100% renewable energy

Using this strategy, the Minnesota Solar Pathways report shows that by 2050 wind and solar can firmly meet 95% of load at costs below current wholesale market prices [8]. The report shows that these savings accrue even when demand increases due to transportation, heating and hot water electrification. The implication is that true, firm grid parity is achievable on a direct cost basis, without considering any subsidy programmes, tax credits, or the monetisation of environmental externalities.

Thanks to the synergy between overbuilding and curtailment, PV and/or wind resources are firmed—effectively transformed into dispatchable resources capable of meeting demand at all times. By using such an approach, high penetrations of variable renewable resources can be seamlessly integrated into existing power grids at reasonable cost [12][13][14][15].

### Policy implications for 100% renewables

At the time of writing, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Hawaii, Illinois, Maine, Minnesota, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, Oregon and Washington had committed to 100% renewables and/or 100% carbon-free electricity by 2050 or sooner [9][17]. Under a traditional single-solution approach of firming each incremental unit of solar capacity with storage, the intrinsic variability of solar resources stands as a fundamental barrier across multiple timescales. These 100% objectives can only be achieved if the supply gaps arising from this variability can be addressed and overcome at reasonable cost.

Curtailment is one of the primary levers

to achieving 100% renewables at reasonable cost. But curtailment carries economically punitive consequences given today's regulatory structures. Renewable energy development policies, and hence the projects built under these policy structures, are largely predicated on remunerating generators based on the energy delivered, irrespective of the degree of firmness. Wholesale markets, on the other hand, do not internalise and fully price the attributes of renewable generation sought by pro-renewable development policy.

This situation threatens to penalise latecomers—the marginal PV or wind asset—as curtailment will be applied only after these facilities are built and try to operate. And regulators will be pressured by utilities to change remuneration structures, so that they are not required to pay for curtailed production.

A sound policy framework must be cognisant of the portfolio of synergistic solutions that leads to minimum-cost renewables integration. There would appear to be promise in rethinking the way in which electricity markets are structured so that they price not only day-ahead and hour-ahead time horizons, but also week-ahead and month-ahead horizons.

### Supply-shaping through curtailment has value even before high penetration levels are reached

Oversizing renewable assets beyond what is needed on an energy basis in order to facilitate some curtailment can reduce the integration costs of both solar and wind. It does so by reducing the total amount of storage that would otherwise have been required. A small degree of oversizing results in the ability to accommodate unforeseen over-predictions in forecasted production and allows PV and wind plants to provide key ancillary grid services. This concept was recently put into operational practice by First Solar [6].

Conclusion: Oversizing coupled with curtailment is a key element in achieving high penetration renewables, and even offers benefits on the path to high penetration levels. This strategy drastically reduces the amount of storage needed to firm the effective output of renewables and thereby drastically reduces integration costs.

The Minnesota Solar Pathways study demonstrates that a 3.5¢/kWh electricity production cost to meet 95% of their electricity and transportation require-

ments is attainable. The policy and market frameworks necessary to support such overbuilding and curtailment are not yet in place, but there are no fundamental barriers to the approach. ■

### Authors

Karl A. Rábago is the executive director of the Pace Energy and Climate Center at Pace University in New York. He is an attorney with nearly 30 years of experience in electric utility regulation and renewable energy development and policy. Karl has been a utility executive, a public utility commissioner in Texas, a deputy assistant secretary at the U.S. Department of Energy, and an advocate for clean energy with several not-for-profit organisations.



Marc Perez is a senior researcher at Clean Power Research in California. He is a trained scientist with 14 years of experience in the solar PV sector across multiple roles: C&I development, academia, corporate research and software development. Marc holds his PhD from Columbia University in New York where he was a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellow, Egleston Doctoral Scholar and was awarded the Star fellowship from the Environmental Protection Agency. He manages R&D activities in the areas of high penetration solar and solar potential assessment using remote sensing data.



Morgan Putnam recently joined REsurety as VP of solar analytics. In his previous work at Clean Power Research, Morgan advanced the inter-connection process for distributed solar assets, modelled high-penetration renewables futures, and co-led the MN Solar Pathways project. He started his career in the solar industry in 2005 working on next-generation solar cells at Caltech. His PhD research led to a venture-backed startup in 2010.



Richard Perez directs solar energy research at the Atmospheric Sciences Research Center of the State University of New York at Albany. He sits on the advisory board of the George Washington University's Solar Institute, and has served multiple terms on the board of the American Solar Energy Society and as associate editor of Solar Energy Journal. He has produced over 250 journal articles, book chapters and conference papers. He holds US patents on energy storage, and load management using photovoltaics. He has received several international awards.





**Acknowledgment**

This material is based upon work supported by the Minnesota Department of Commerce, State Energy Office and the US Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE), under Award Number DE-EE0007669.

**Disclaimer**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**References**

- [1] Baum, Z., Palatnik, R., Ayalon, O., Elmakis, D., Frant, S., 2019. Harnessing households to mitigate renewables intermittency in the smart grid. *Renew. Energy* 132, 1216–1229.
- [2] Bellini, Emiliano (2018) PV Magazine: Saudi Arabia Announces Shortlist for 300 MW Tender – Excludes Lowest Bid < <https://www.pv-magazine.com/2018/01/05/saudi-arabia-announces-shortlist-for-300-mw-tender-excludes-lowest-bid/> >
- [3] Burger, Andrew (2019) Solar Magazine: <https://solarmagazine.com/tripling-firm-solar-wind-energy-output-texas-capitalizing-on-complementarity/>
- [4] Deign, Jason (2018) GTM, Mexican Solar Sets a Low Price For Latin America < <https://www.greentechmedia.com/articles/read/mexican-solar-record-low-price-latin-america#gs.0uubUv6b> >
- [5] Ho, T., Perez, R., 2012. Modeling PV Fleet output variability. *Sol. Energy* 86 (8), 2177–2189.
- [6] Loutan, C. et al., 2017. Demonstration of Essential Reliability Services by a 300-MW Solar Photovoltaic Power Plant, Technical Report NREL/TP-5D00-67799 <<https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/67799.pdf>>
- [7] Mills, A., Wiser, R., 2010. Implications of Wide-area Geographic Diversity for Short-term Variability of Solar Power. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
- [8] Minnesota Department of Commerce: (2018): Solar Potential Analysis Report. < <http://mnsolarpathways.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/solar-potential-analysis-final-report-nov15-2.pdf> >
- [9] Morehouse, Catherine, (2018) Utility Dive: New York Gov. Cuomo Pledges 100% Carbon-Free Electricity by 2040 < <https://www.utilitydive.com/news/new-york-gov-cuomo-pledges-100-carbon-free-electricity-by-2040/544587/> >
- [10] NREL (National Renewable Energy Laboratory), 2016. 2016 Annual Technology Baseline. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- [11] Perez, M., 2015. Geographic Dispersion and Curtailment of VLS-PV Electricity. IEA PVPS Task 8 report, Ch.4 Future Technical Options for the Entire Energy System., 2015. Energy.
- [12] Perez, M., R. Perez, K. R. Rábago & M. Putnam, (2018): Overbuilding & curtailment: The cost-effective enablers of firm PV generation. *Solar Energy* 180, 412–422
- [13] Perez, M., 2014. PHD Dissertation: A model for optimizing the combination of solar electricity generation, supply curtailment. Columbia University.
- [14] Perez, M., Perez, R., Rabago, K., Putnam, M., 2018. Lowest-cost, firm PV without conventional backup: supply shaping through curtailment. In: Proc. (oral) IEEE PV Specialists Conference (WCPEC-7), Waikola, HI.
- [15] Perez, R., Rábago, K., Trahan, M., Rawlings, L., Norris, B., Ho, T., Putnam, M., Perez, M., 2016a. Achieving very high PV penetration – the need for an effective electricity remuneration framework and a central role for grid operators. *Energy Policy* 96 (September), 27–35.
- [16] Perez, R., David, M., Ho, T., Kivalov, S., Kleissl, J., Jamaly, M., Lauret, P., Perez, M., 2016b. Spatial and temporal variability of solar energy. *Found. Trends Renew. Energy* 1 (1), 1–44.
- [17] Driscoll, William (2018) PV Magazine, USA: Five new state governors aim for 100% renewables < <https://www.pv-magazine.com/2018/11/09/usa-five-new-state-governors-aim-for-100-renewables/> >
- [18] Solaranywhere. < <https://www.solaranywhere.com/> >
- [19] Spector, Julian (2018), GTM, Nevada Beat Arizona Record Low Solar PPA Price< <https://www.greentechmedia.com/articles/read/nevada-beat-arizona-record-low-solar-ppa-price#gs.02XfCOBP> >

**SOLARGIS**

**Prospect app**

All-in-one pre-feasibility tool for PV power plants



Interactive maps



Satellite and meteo data



PV simulator



Economy calculator



Reports

[solargis.com/prospect](http://solargis.com/prospect)



Meet us at Intersolar Europe  
booth #B2.140 May 15–17 2019  
Munich, Germany

